NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		Use knowledge of students' strengths and prior experiences to engage them in learning				2. Conne the real	ect classroo world	om learnii	ng to	problem	e students solving, a their critic	nd reflect	ion to
		Program Statewide				Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	wide
		397	100.0%	1966	98.0%	397	100.0%	1963	97.9%	395	99.5%	1953	97.4%
1 = Not at all		1	0.3%	2	0.1%	1	0.3%	6	0.3%	1	0.3%	7	0.4%
2 = Poorly		1	0.3%	18	0.9%	7	1.8%	40	2.0%	8	2.0%	49	2.5%
3 = Adequately		67	16.9%	247	12.6%	65	16.4%	285	14.5%	62	15.7%	298	15.3%
4 = Well		130	32.7%	614	31.2%	130	32.7%	626	31.9%	143	36.2%	639	32.7%
5 = Very well		198	49.9%	1085	55.2%	194	48.9%	1006	51.2%	181	45.8%	960	49.2%
	Mean : SD	4.32	0.78	4.40	0.75	4.28	0.82	4.32	0.82	4.25	0.81	4.28	0.84

5. Identify and address special

9. Prevent behavior problems by

intervening early using strategies

Date: 1/30/2019

	4. Meet English l	the instrud earners	ctional nee	eds of		needs wit strategie		iate
	Prog	jram .	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
	396	99.7%	1952	97.3%	397	100.0%	1957	97.6%
1 = Not at all	1	0.3%	5	0.3%	1	0.3%	4	0.2%
2 = Poorly	7	1.8%	52	2.7%	3	0.8%	24	1.2%
3 = Adequately	69	17.4%	351	18.0%	45	11.3%	203	10.4%
4 = Well	139	35.1%	640	32.8%	115	29.0%	560	28.6%
5 = Very well	180	45.5%	904	46.3%	233	58.7%	1166	59.6%
Mean : SD	4.24	0.82	4.22	0.85	4.45	0.74	4.46	0.74

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in cooperative

		group we learning	earning a				ul learning ents	environn	nent for	environn for all st	nent with I udents	nigh expe	ctations		to studen and behav		t
		Prog	jram .	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	ewide
		396	99.7%	1953	97.4%	395	99.5%	1954	97.4%	390	98.2%	1945	97.0%	391	98.5%	1943	96.9%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	4	0.2%	1	0.3%	2	0.1%	1	0.3%	3	0.2%	1	0.3%	5	0.3%
2 = Poorly		5	1.3%	31	1.6%	2	0.5%	19	1.0%	1	0.3%	25	1.3%	3	0.8%	44	2.3%
3 = Adequately		65	16.4%	260	13.3%	42	10.6%	194	9.9%	49	12.6%	205	10.5%	67	17.1%	301	15.5%
4 = Well		138	34.8%	656	33.6%	129	32.7%	551	28.2%	134	34.4%	607	31.2%	135	34.5%	631	32.5%
5 = Very well		188	47.5%	1002	51.3%	221	55.9%	1188	60.8%	205	52.6%	1105	56.8%	185	47.3%	962	49.5%
	Mean : SD	4.29	0.78	4.34	0.78	4.44	0.72	4.49	0.72	4.39	0.73	4.43	0.74	4.28	0.79	4.29	0.83

7. Establish and maintain a safe and 8. Create a productive learning

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		velop currio t standards			strategie	effective in es to teach and skills			material technolo	s, resource gies to ma ccessible t	es, and ke subjec	ct	technolo	structiona gy to supp challengi	ort stude	nt
	Pro	ogram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	337	84.9%	1789	89.2%	335	84.4%	1794	89.4%	336	84.6%	1792	89.3%	336	84.6%	1788	89.1%
1 = Not at all	2	0.6%	13	0.7%	1	0.3%	5	0.3%	1	0.3%	2	0.1%	1	0.3%	10	0.6%
2 = Poorly	(5 1.8%	56	3.1%	2	0.6%	30	1.7%	4	1.2%	37	2.1%	7	2.1%	45	2.5%
3 = Adequately	70	20.8%	325	18.2%	60	17.9%	277	15.4%	49	14.6%	258	14.4%	49	14.6%	261	14.6%
4 = Well	125	37.1%	626	35.0%	113	33.7%	623	34.7%	130	38.7%	603	33.6%	124	36.9%	651	36.4%
5 = Very well	134	39.8%	769	43.0%	159	47.5%	859	47.9%	152	45.2%	892	49.8%	155	46.1%	821	45.9%
Mea	nn: SD 4.14	0.84	4.16	0.88	4.27	0.79	4.28	0.80	4.27	0.77	4.31	0.80	4.26	0.81	4.25	0.83

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

14. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

15. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

12. Select, adapt, and develop

16. Develop IFSP/IEP goals and objectives that are measurable and obtainable

Date: 1/30/2019

13. Expand expertise with evidence-

	carcarar 2	saitar ar Basinground, and marriadar development			 				o b ca a				
		Prog	ram	State	wide	Prog	ıram .	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
		335	84.4%	1788	89.1%	334	84.1%	1789	89.2%	334	84.1%	1786	89.0%
1 = Not at all		1	0.3%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	1	0.3%	6	0.3%
2 = Poorly		4	1.2%	30	1.7%	7	2.1%	30	1.7%	9	2.7%	54	3.0%
3 = Adequately		50	14.9%	221	12.4%	39	11.7%	217	12.1%	52	15.6%	271	15.2%
4 = Well		124	37.0%	617	34.5%	127	38.0%	617	34.5%	117	35.0%	581	32.5%
5 = Very well		156	46.6%	915	51.2%	161	48.2%	920	51.4%	155	46.4%	874	48.9%
	Mean : SD	4.28	0.78	4.35	0.78	4.32	0.76	4.35	0.78	4.25	0.83	4.27	0.85

17. Plan for instruction by incorporating all relevant IFSP/IEP information behavior and academic information

18. Ensure students with exceptionalities receive appropriate instruction and support within the least restrictive environment

		Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
		328	82.6%	1779	88.7%	333	83.9%	1781	88.8%
L = Not at all		1	0.3%	7	0.4%	C	0.0%	4	0.2%
Poorly		9	2.7%	55	3.1%	4	1.2%	26	1.5%
3 = Adequately		57	17.4%	273	15.3%	36	10.8%	203	11.4%
1 = Well		117	35.7%	616	34.6%	122	36.6%	591	33.2%
5 = Very well		144	43.9%	828	46.5%	171	51.4%	957	53.7%
	Mean : SD	4.20	0.84	4.24	0.85	4.38	0.73	4.39	0.76

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

19. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction

20. Involve all students in selfassessment, goal setting, and monitoring progress

21. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning

27. Provide a continuum of support

Date: 1/30/2019

	to plai	nail, unlerentiate, and mounty instruction				monitor	ing progres	55		Studen	s to guide	uleli leali	illig
		Prog	ıram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide
		314	79.1%	1732	86.3%	313	78.8%	1708	85.1%	313	78.8%	1720	85.7%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.3%	6	0.4%	C	0.0%	5	0.3%
2 = Poorly		6	1.9%	33	1.9%	8	2.6%	48	2.8%	6	1.9%	31	1.8%
3 = Adequately		39	12.4%	235	13.6%	50	16.0%	284	16.6%	51	16.3%	253	14.7%
4 = Well		123	39.2%	613	35.4%	128	40.9%	632	37.0%	109	34.8%	609	35.4%
5 = Very well		146	46.5%	849	49.0%	126	40.3%	738	43.2%	147	47.0%	822	47.8%
	Mean : SD	4.30	0.76	4.31	0.78	4.18	0.81	4.20	0.84	4.27	0.80	4.29	0.80

22. Collect and utilize data to ensure educational benefit when aligning assessment data with goals and services within the least restrictive environment

23. Appropriately modify and accommodate state and local assessments based on students' learning and accessibility needs

	Pro	gram	State	wide		Prog	ram	State	wide
	313	313 78.8%		86.0%	:	313	78.8%	1725	86.0%
1 = Not at all	1	0.3%	4	0.2%		2	0.6%	15	0.9%
2 = Poorly	4	1.3%	31	1.8%		7	2.2%	40	2.3%
3 = Adequately	49	15.7%	246	14.3%		44	14.1%	261	15.1%
4 = Well	116	37.1%	613	35.5%		121	38.7%	610	35.4%
5 = Very well	143	45.7%	832	48.2%		139	44.4%	799	46.3%
Mean:	SD 4.27	0.79	4.30	0.79	4	1.24	0.82	4.24	0.85

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		actions on student learning and					k with fam and studen rning				with colle			teaching	ultation, co to mento iplinary te	ring with	multi or
		Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	ewide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
		314	79.1%	1731	86.3%	314	79.1%	1727	86.1%	313	78.8%	1726	86.0%	312	78.6%	1725	86.0%
1 = Not at all		1	0.3%	5	0.3%	2	0.6%	8	0.5%	1	0.3%	7	0.4%	1	0.3%	9	0.5%
2 = Poorly		2	0.6%	21	1.2%	6	1.9%	41	2.4%	5	1.6%	38	2.2%	5	1.6%	34	2.0%
3 = Adequately		45	14.3%	233	13.5%	57	18.2%	281	16.3%	40	12.8%	233	13.5%	45	14.4%	232	13.4%
4 = Well		125	39.8%	625	36.1%	114	36.3%	616	35.7%	117	37.4%	588	34.1%	122	39.1%	606	35.1%
5 = Very well		141	44.9%	847	48.9%	135	43.0%	781	45.2%	150	47.9%	860	49.8%	139	44.6%	844	48.9%
	Mean : SD	4.28	0.75	4.32	0.77	4.19	0.84	4.23	0.84	4.31	0.78	4.31	0.81	4.26	0.79	4.30	0.81

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Content

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

28. Teach my content area(s) according to California academic content standards in my grade(s)

29. Contribute to students' reading skills including comprehension in my subject area

30. Enable students to acquire subject matter skills that contribute to future success in life, college, and career

31. Anticipate and address the needs of students who are at risk of dropping out

Date: 1/30/2019

	Content	ent standards in my grade(s) my				ect area			and care	ei			uropping	Jout		
	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	ewide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	311	78.3%	1711	85.3%	312	78.6%	1715	85.5%	313	78.8%	1710	85.2%	312	78.6%	1680	83.7%
1 = Not at all	4	1.3%	17	1.0%	1	0.3%	10	0.6%	2	0.6%	14	0.8%	5	1.6%	38	2.3%
2 = Poorly	4	1.3%	38	2.2%	6	1.9%	35	2.0%	5	1.6%	35	2.0%	10	3.2%	82	4.9%
3 = Adequately	51	16.4%	262	15.3%	41	13.1%	227	13.2%	54	17.3%	264	15.4%	66	21.2%	354	21.1%
4 = Well	116	37.3%	634	37.1%	119	38.1%	617	36.0%	116	37.1%	629	36.8%	104	33.3%	583	34.7%
5 = Very well	136	43.7%	760	44.4%	145	46.5%	826	48.2%	136	43.5%	768	44.9%	127	40.7%	623	37.1%
Mean :	SD 4.21	0.85	4.22	0.85	4.29	0.79	4.29	0.81	4.21	0.83	4.23	0.84	4.08	0.94	3.99	0.99

Field Experiences

32. Which of th	following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation? (check all that apply):	300	75.6%	1729	86.2%
Student tea	ching with a cooperating teacher	70	17.6%	640	31.9%
Teaching fe	low or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	219	55.2%	1030	51.3%
Teaching or	an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	71	17.9%	257	12.8%

33. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Prog	jram .	State	wide
	300	75.6%	1724	85.9%
1 = Less than once per month	11	3.7%	50	2.9%
2 = Once per month	31	10.3%	158	9.2%
3 = Twice per month	70	23.3%	378	21.9%
4 = Once per week	87	29.0%	545	31.6%
5 = 2-3 times per week	85	28.3%	484	28.1%
6 = Daily	16	5.3%	109	6.3%
Mean : SD	3.84	1.21	3.92	1.17

34. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	301	75.8%	1717	85.6%
1 = Once or twice	4	1.3%	66	3.8%
2 = 3-5 times	108	35.9%	438	25.5%
3 = 6-10 times	98	32.6%	556	32.4%
4 = 11-15 times	40	13.3%	287	16.7%
5 = 16-20 times	26	8.6%	184	10.7%
6 = More than 20 times	25	8.3%	186	10.8%
Mean : SD	3.17	1.27	3.37	1.36

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

 $35. \ \mathrm{My}$ field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework.

	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	300	75.6%	1724	85.9%
1 = Strongly Disagree	6	2.0%	22	1.3%
2 = Disagree	3	1.0%	18	1.0%
3 = Neutral	22	7.3%	96	5.6%
4 = Agree	125	41.7%	689	40.0%
5 = Strongly Agree	144	48.0%	899	52.1%
Mean : SD	4.33	0.82	4.41	0.75

37. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	299	75.3%	1714	85.4%
1 = Less than 100 hours	12	12.0%	52	7.0%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	13	13.0%	116	15.7%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	35	35.0%	266	35.9%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	16	16.0%	143	19.3%
5 = 800 hours or more	24	24.0%	164	22.1%
This question does not apply to me because I did not do				
any student teaching. **	199	-	973	-
Mean : SD	3.27	1.29	3.34	1.18

39. **If you served in an internship placement**, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?

	Prog	ıram	State	ewide
	300	75.6%	1372	68.4%
1 = Once or twice	6	2.4%	62	5.6%
2 = 3-5 times	46	18.5%	182	16.5%
3 = 6-10 times	69	27.8%	284	25.8%
4 = 11-15 times	29	11.7%	188	17.1%
5 = 16-20 times	33	13.3%	148	13.4%
6 = More than 20 times	65	26.2%	238	21.6%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship**	52	-	270	-
Mean : SD	3.94	1.56	3.81	1.55

36. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

Prog	ıram	State	wide
294	74.1%	1656	82.6%
212	53.4%	1176	58.6%
216	54.4%	1227	61.2%
197	49.6%	1129	56.3%
200	50.4%	1109	55.3%
235	59.2%	1349	67.2%
225	56.7%	1270	63.3%
210	52.9%	1236	61.6%
227	57.2%	1283	64.0%
	294 212 216 197 200 235 225 210	212 53.4% 216 54.4% 197 49.6% 200 50.4% 235 59.2% 225 56.7% 210 52.9%	294 74.1% 1656 212 53.4% 1176 216 54.4% 1227 197 49.6% 1129 200 50.4% 1109 235 59.2% 1349 225 56.7% 1270 210 52.9% 1236

38. Approximately how much time did you spend in **an internship placement** as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	298	75.1%	1365	68.0%
1 = Less than 100 hours	2	0.8%	16	1.5%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	9	3.6%	54	4.9%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	11	4.4%	97	8.8%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	26	10.5%	123	11.2%
5 = 800 hours or more	200	80.6%	813	73.7%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	50	-	262	-
Mean : SD	4.67	0.79	4.51	0.95

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Content for Special Education: English Language Arts

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

	40a) Lea skills	arn ways to	o teach de	ecoding		arn ways t and motiv			,	irn how to edictions to iension				irn how to in learnin	support o	
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	wide	Prog	jram .	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	289	72.8%	1500	74.8%	289	72.8%	1506	75.1%	288	72.5%	1502	74.9%	289	72.8%	1490	74.3%
1 = None	8	2.8%	46	3.1%	4	1.4%	20	1.3%	4	1.4%	28	1.9%	12	4.2%	70	4.7%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	30	10.4%	139	9.3%	17	5.9%	92	6.1%	27	9.4%	121	8.1%	33	11.4%	185	12.4%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	75	26.0%	334	22.3%	67	23.2%	311	20.7%	71	24.7%	336	22.4%	82	28.4%	357	24.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	96	33.2%	542	36.1%	94	32.5%	541	35.9%	91	31.6%	540	36.0%	86	29.8%	481	32.3%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	80	27.7%	439	29.3%	107	37.0%	542	36.0%	95	33.0%	477	31.8%	76	26.3%	397	26.6%
Mean : SD	3.73	1.06	3.79	1.06	3.98	0.98	3.99	0.96	3.85	1.03	3.88	1.01	3.63	1.11	3.64	1.14

	and writ	arn ways to ing to stud r reading a	ents at d		40f) Lea prior kno	rn how to owledge	activate s	tudents'	read alo	en to an ing ud for the g his/her r nent	purpose c	
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	288	72.5%	1497	74.6%	288	72.5%	1506	75.1%	287	72.3%	1492	74.4%
1 = None	5	1.7%	26	1.7%	1	0.3%	13	0.9%	4	1.4%	50	3.4%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	21	7.3%	98	6.5%	14	4.9%	55	3.7%	19	6.6%	79	5.3%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	67	23.3%	324	21.6%	63	21.9%	283	18.8%	73	25.4%	284	19.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	87	30.2%	496	33.1%	90	31.3%	499	33.1%	74	25.8%	464	31.1%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	108	37.5%	553	36.9%	120	41.7%	656	43.6%	117	40.8%	615	41.2%
Mean : SD	3.94	1.03	3.97	1.00	4.09 0.93 4.15 0.91		0.91	3.98	1.03	4.02	1.06	

		40h) Pla reading	n and teac lesson	h a guide	ed		rn to teach their idea			student	assessment results to address student needs and improve you teaching		
		Prog	jram	State	wide	Prog	jram	State	ewide	Prog	jram	State	wide
		287	72.3%	1496	74.6%	289	72.8%	1492	74.4%	286	72.0%	1492	74.4%
1 = None		3	1.0%	39	2.6%	7	2.4%	40	2.7%	2	0.7%	30	2.0%
2 = Touched on it Briefly		14	4.9%	90	6.0%	20	6.9%	139	9.3%	13	4.5%	73	4.9%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		71	24.7%	286	19.1%	71	24.6%	322	21.6%	60	21.0%	265	17.8%
4 = Explored in Some Depth		91	31.7%	462	30.9%	95	32.9%	491	32.9%	93	32.5%	505	33.8%
5 = Extensive Opportunity		108	37.6%	619	41.4%	96	33.2%	500	33.5%	118	41.3%	619	41.5%
	Mean : SD	4.00	0.96	4.02	1.04	3.88	1.03	3.85	1.07	4.09	0.93	4.08	0.98

40j) Use student reading

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

40k) Practice what you learned about teaching reading in your field

40I) Study state standards for

41c) Use representations (e.g.,

40m) Study, critique or adapt

	experier	nces			reading/	language	arts		reading	curriculum	n materials	S
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	288	72.5%	1499	74.7%	284	71.5%	1496	74.6%	288	72.5%	1499	74.7%
1 = None	6	2.1%	40	2.7%	2	0.7%	28	1.9%	4	1.4%	46	3.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	14	4.9%	71	4.7%	20	7.0%	110	7.4%	25	8.7%	112	7.5%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	52	18.1%	260	17.3%	55	19.4%	282	18.9%	59	20.5%	301	20.1%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	85	29.5%	447	29.8%	95	33.5%	505	33.8%	88	30.6%	487	32.5%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	131	45.5%	681	45.4%	112	39.4%	571	38.2%	112	38.9%	553	36.9%
Mean : SD	4.11	1.00	4.11	1.02	4.04	0.97	3.99	1.02	3.97	1.03	3.93	1.07

Content for Special Education: Mathematics

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

		arn typical s have with				arn typical s have with			geometr number	ic represent lines) to sl ocedure w	ntation, g now expli	raphs,		ve that a ethod wor		
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	ewide
	286	72.0%	1464	73.0%	285	71.8%	1462	72.9%	285	71.8%	1464	73.0%	285	71.8%	1464	73.0%
1 = None	32	11.2%	162	11.1%	36	12.6%	180	12.3%	36	12.6%	152	10.4%	33	11.6%	163	11.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	37	12.9%	236	16.1%	47	16.5%	258	17.6%	40	14.0%	217	14.8%	45	15.8%	224	15.3%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	76	26.6%	332	22.7%	70	24.6%	327	22.4%	64	22.5%	317	21.7%	71	24.9%	348	23.8%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	78	27.3%	413	28.2%	80	28.1%	387	26.5%	83	29.1%	416	28.4%	80	28.1%	413	28.2%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	63	22.0%	321	21.9%	52	18.2%	310	21.2%	62	21.8%	362	24.7%	56	19.6%	316	21.6%
Mean : SD	3.36	1.27	3.34	1.28	3.23	1.28	3.27	1.31	3.33	1.30	3.42	1.29	3.28	1.27	3.34	1.28

41e) St curricul	pt math				41e) Stud
Pro	wide	State		ram	Prog
284	73.6%	1477	, D	71.8%	285

⁴¹g) Adapt math lessons for students with diverse needs and Study, critique, or adapt math ulum materials

	learning styles											
_	Prog	gram	State	ewide								
)	282	71.0%	1478	73.7%								
,	14	5.0%	73	4.9%								
,	30	10.6%	142	9.6%								

rogram Statewide 34 71.5% 1480 73.8% 1 = None25 8.8% 118 8.0% 19 6.7% 87 5.9% 2 = Touched on it Briefly 33 11.6% 175 11.8% 37 13.0% 176 11.9% 3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing 65 22.8% 326 22.1% 57 20.1% 301 20.3% 46 16.3% 278 18.8% 4 = Explored in Some Depth 90 31.6% 433 29.3% 77 27.1% 407 27.5% 88 31.2% 434 29.4% 5 = Extensive Opportunity 72 25.3% 425 28.8% 94 33.1% 509 34.4% 104 36.9% 551 37.3% 3.53 1.23 3.59 1.24 3.67 1.25 3.73 1.22 3.84 1.18 3.84 1.17 Mean : SD

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

41h) Practice what you learned about teaching math in your field experience

41i) Study national or state standards for mathematics

41j) Review local district mathematics curriculum

		experience				Startadias for matricinatics				matricinatics carricalani			
		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide	
		285	71.8%	1478	73.7%	284	71.5%	1474	73.5%	284	71.5%	1468	73.2%
1 = None		15	5.3%	86	5.8%	13	4.6%	90	6.1%	25	8.8%	173	11.8%
2 = Touched on it Briefly		32	11.2%	137	9.3%	30	10.6%	145	9.8%	45	15.8%	220	15.0%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		52	18.2%	294	19.9%	71	25.0%	342	23.2%	66	23.2%	311	21.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth		80	28.1%	417	28.2%	84	29.6%	445	30.2%	67	23.6%	395	26.9%
5 = Extensive Opportunity		106	37.2%	544	36.8%	86	30.3%	452	30.7%	81	28.5%	369	25.1%
	Mean : SD	3.81	1.20	3.81	1.19	3.70	1.14	3.69	1.18	3.47	1.29	3.39	1.32

General

42. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become an education specialist?

	Prog	gram	Statewide		
	280	70.5%	1622	80.9%	
1 = Not at all effective	2	0.7%	15	0.9%	
2 = Somewhat effective	24	8.6%	154	9.5%	
3 = Effective	108	38.6%	611	37.7%	
4 = Very effective	146	52.1%	842	51.9%	
Mean : SD	3.42	0.68	3.41	0.70	

Demographics

43. Are you Hispanic or Latino?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	282	71.0%	1629	81.2%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	201	71.3%	1088	66.8%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	81	28.7%	541	33.2%

* Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

44. What is your race? (Select all that apply)

	Prog	ıram	State	wide				
	258	65.0%	1425	71.0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	3.3%	74	3.7%				
Chinese	*		22	1.1%				
Japanese	*		23	1.1%				
Korean	*		15	0.7%				
Vietnamese	*		15	0.7%				
Asian Indian	*		12	0.6%				
Laotian	*		5	0.2%				
Cambodian	*		3	0.1%				
Filipino	*		51	2.5%				
Hmong	*		2	0.1%				
Other Asian	*		19	0.9%				
Black or African American	28	7.1%	108	5.4%				
Hawaiian	*		4	0.2%				
Guamanian	*		6	0.3%				
Samoan	*		2	0.1%				
Tahitian	*		0	0.0%				
Other Pacific Islander	*		9	0.4%				
White	210	52.9%	1190	59.3%				

45. What is your gender?

	Prog	gram	State	wide			
	281	70.8%	1633	81.4%			
Female	188	66.9%	1237	75.8%			
Male	*		372	22.8%			
Decline to state	*		24	1.5%			

*	
Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one	
category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.	

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and of Respon	
Program:	409	397	97.1 %
Statewide:	2098	2006	95.6 %

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

1. Use knowledge of students'

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		j , ,			Connect classroom learning to the real world				problem solving, and reflection to promote their critical thinking				
		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide
		270	100.0%	4939	98.3%	270	100.0%	4938	98.3%	270	100.0%	4931	98.1%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	6	0.1%	1	0.4%	12	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.2%
2 = Poorly		1	0.4%	45	0.9%	5	1.9%	67	1.4%	7	2.6%	78	1.6%
3 = Adequately		26	9.6%	514	10.4%	31	11.5%	585	11.8%	29	10.7%	577	11.7%
4 = Well		90	33.3%	1548	31.3%	74	27.4%	1562	31.6%	90	33.3%	1611	32.7%
5 = Very well		153	56.7%	2826	57.2%	159	58.9%	2712	54.9%	144	53.3%	2655	53.8%
	Mean : SD	4.46	0.68	4.45	0.72	4.43	0.80	4.40	0.77	4.37	0.78	4.38	0.77

4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

	Pro	Program		wide	Pro	gram	ıram Statewi		
	270	100.0%	4924	98.0%	270	100.0%	4929	98.1%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	8	0.2%	0	0.0%	26	0.5%	
2 = Poorly	2	0.7%	81	1.6%	4	1.5%	149	3.0%	
3 = Adequately	28	10.4%	754	15.3%	25	9.3%	905	18.4%	
4 = Well	79	29.3%	1614	32.8%	87	32.2%	1688	34.2%	
5 = Very well	161	59.6%	2467	50.1%	154	57.0%	2161	43.8%	
Mean : SD	4.48	0.71	4.31	0.80	4.45	0.72	4.18	0.87	

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in cooperative
group work as well as independent
learning

^{7.} Establish and maintain a safe and respectful learning environment for all students

8. Create a productive learning environment with high expectations for all students

Date: 1/30/2019

3. Engage students in inquiry,

	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		wide	Program		Statewide	
	270	100.0%	4922	98.0%	269	99.6%	4917	97.9%	267	98.9%	4906	97.6%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	0	0.0%	9	0.2%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%
2 = Poorly	5	1.9%	49	1.0%	1	0.4%	26	0.5%	2	0.7%	30	0.6%
3 = Adequately	25	9.3%	517	10.5%	20	7.4%	421	8.6%	22	8.2%	475	9.7%
4 = Well	88	32.6%	1525	31.0%	76	28.3%	1266	25.7%	77	28.8%	1466	29.9%
5 = Very well	152	56.3%	2824	57.4%	172	63.9%	3195	65.0%	166	62.2%	2923	59.6%
Mean : SD	4.43	0.74	4.44	0.73	4.56	0.65	4.55	0.69	4.52	0.68	4.48	0.71

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?		op curricul standards			 Use effective instructional strategies to teach specific subject matter and skills 				materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students			
	Program Stat		State	wide	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide	
	244	90.4%	4710	93.7%	244	90.4%	4719	93.9%	244	90.4%	4708	93.7%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	15	0.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	13	0.3%
2 = Poorly	5	2.0%	107	2.3%	4	1.6%	61	1.3%	2	0.8%	77	1.6%
3 = Adequately	30	12.3%	700	14.9%	26	10.7%	567	12.0%	27	11.1%	747	15.9%
4 = Well	89	36.5%	1572	33.4%	86	35.2%	1590	33.7%	87	35.7%	1575	33.5%
5 = Very well	120	49.2%	2316	49.2%	128	52.5%	2496	52.9%	128	52.5%	2296	48.8%
Mean : SD	4.33	0.77	4.29	0.82	4.39	0.74	4.38	0.75	4.40	0.72	4.29	0.81

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

12. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

Date: 1/30/2019

11. Select, adapt, and develop

background, and marriadal development					meet the learn	ing ii	iccus or ar	Judenies	,
	Program Statewide				Prog	ıram	State	wide	
	242	89.6%	4705	93.6%		244	90.4%	4711	93.8%
	0	0.0%	9	0.2%		0	0.0%	5	0.1%
	1	0.4%	39	0.8%		3	1.2%	52	1.1%
	23	9.5%	543	11.5%		21	8.6%	559	11.9%
	80	33.1%	1525	32.4%		83	34.0%	1580	33.5%
	138	57.0%	2589	55.0%		137	56.1%	2515	53.4%
Mean : SD	4.47	0.68	4.41	0.74	4	1.45	0.70	4.39	0.74
		Prog 242 0 1 23 80 138	Program 242 89.6% 0 0.0% 1 0.4% 23 9.5% 80 33.1% 138 57.0%	Program State 242 89.6% 4705 0 0.0% 9 1 0.4% 39 23 9.5% 543 80 33.1% 1525 138 57.0% 2589	Program Statewide 242 89.6% 4705 93.6% 0 0.0% 9 0.2% 1 0.4% 39 0.8% 23 9.5% 543 11.5% 80 33.1% 1525 32.4% 138 57.0% 2589 55.0%	Program Statewide 242 89.6% 4705 93.6% 0 0.0% 9 0.2% 1 0.4% 39 0.8% 23 9.5% 543 11.5% 80 33.1% 1525 32.4% 138 57.0% 2589 55.0%	Program Statewide Program 242 89.6% 4705 93.6% 244 0 0.0% 9 0.2% 0 1 0.4% 39 0.8% 3 23 9.5% 543 11.5% 21 80 33.1% 1525 32.4% 83 138 57.0% 2589 55.0% 137	Program Statewide Program 242 89.6% 4705 93.6% 244 90.4% 0 0.0% 9 0.2% 0 0.0% 1 0.4% 39 0.8% 3 1.2% 23 9.5% 543 11.5% 21 8.6% 80 33.1% 1525 32.4% 83 34.0% 138 57.0% 2589 55.0% 137 56.1%	Program Statewide Program State 242 89.6% 4705 93.6% 244 90.4% 4711 0 0.0% 9 0.2% 0 0.0% 5 1 0.4% 39 0.8% 3 1.2% 52 23 9.5% 543 11.5% 21 8.6% 559 80 33.1% 1525 32.4% 83 34.0% 1580 138 57.0% 2589 55.0% 137 56.1% 2515

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation source program prepare you to do each of the differ		elop and use assessment data from a variety of to establish learning goals and to plan, iate, and modify instruction					olve all stud nent, goal s ing progres	setting, ar		16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning			
following as a teacher?		Prog	gram .	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Program		Statewide	
		244	90.4%	4700	93.5%	242	89.6%	4700	93.5%	243	90.0%	4693	93.4%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	18	0.4%	0	0.0%	22	0.5%	0	0.0%	14	0.3%
2 = Poorly		4	1.6%	104	2.2%	4	1.7%	130	2.8%	5	2.1%	73	1.6%
3 = Adequately		20	8.2%	725	15.4%	28	11.6%	860	18.3%	26	10.7%	659	14.0%
4 = Well		90	36.9%	1633	34.7%	87	36.0%	1607	34.2%	87	35.8%	1641	35.0%
5 = Very well		130	53.3%	2220	47.2%	123	50.8%	2081	44.3%	125	51.4%	2306	49.1%
	Mean : SD	4.42	0.71	4.26	0.83	4.36	0.75	4.19	0.86	4.37	0.76	4.31	0.79

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

	Developi	ng as	a Profe	ssional	Educato	or							
How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	act	17. Evaluate the effects of your actions on student learning and modify plans accordingly 18. Work with faunderstand students and their learning their lear			nd studen			19. Work with colleagues to improve instruction Program Statewid					
		Prog	ram	State	wide	Prog	ram	Statewide		Program		Statewide	
		240	88.9%	4596	91.5%	239	88.5%	4604	91.6%	240	88.9%	4601	91.6%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	17	0.4%	1	0.4%	53	1.2%	0	0.0%	29	0.6%
2 = Poorly		3	1.3%	72	1.6%	5	2.1%	229	5.0%	6	2.5%	122	2.7%
3 = Adequately		18	7.5%	692	15.1%	34	14.2%	1037	22.5%	32	13.3%	747	16.2%
4 = Well		88	36.7%	1569	34.1%	91	38.1%	1547	33.6%	65	27.1%	1498	32.6%
5 = Very well		131	54.6%	2246	48.9%	108	45.2%	1738	37.7%	137	57.1%	2205	47.9%
Mea	n : SD	4.45	0.69	4.30	0.80	4.26	0.81	4.02	0.95	4.39	0.81	4.24	0.86

Content for Multiple Subjects

How well did your preparation program prepare you to teach each of the following subjects:

sub	subjects:		ish Literac	y/Langua	anguage Arts 21. Mathematics					22. Science				
		Program States		tewide Program		ram	Statewide		Program		Statewide			
		240	88.9%	4616	91.9%	240	88.9%	4613	91.8%	240	88.9%	4604	91.6%	
1 =	Not at all	0	0.0%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	23	0.5%	2	0.8%	52	1.1%	
2 =	Poorly	1	0.4%	71	1.5%	9	3.8%	117	2.5%	10	4.2%	209	4.5%	
3 =	Adequately	29	12.1%	558	12.1%	35	14.6%	717	15.5%	62	25.8%	1006	21.9%	
4 =	Well	78	32.5%	1500	32.5%	83	34.6%	1479	32.1%	85	35.4%	1552	33.7%	
5 =	Very well	132	55.0%	2480	53.7%	113	47.1%	2277	49.4%	81	33.8%	1785	38.8%	
	Mean : SD	4.42	0.72	4.38	0.77	4.25	0.84	4.27	0.85	3.97	0.92	4.04	0.94	

	23.	23. History/Social Studies			24. Creative/Fine arts				25. Physical Education/Healt			th	
		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	Prog	ogram State		wide
		239	88.5%	4592	91.4%	240	88.9%	4575	91.0%	240	88.9%	4549	90.5%
1 = Not at all		1	0.4%	62	1.4%	4	1.7%	165	3.6%	4	1.7%	219	4.8%
2 = Poorly		8	3.3%	208	4.5%	13	5.4%	371	8.1%	8	3.3%	408	9.0%
3 = Adequately		56	23.4%	1031	22.5%	68	28.3%	1234	27.0%	74	30.8%	1318	29.0%
4 = Well		89	37.2%	1589	34.6%	84	35.0%	1435	31.4%	78	32.5%	1310	28.8%
5 = Very well		85	35.6%	1702	37.1%	71	29.6%	1370	29.9%	76	31.7%	1294	28.4%
	lean : SD 4	.04	0.87	4.02	0.95	3.85	0.96	3.76	1.08	3.89	0.95	3.67	1.12

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Field Experiences

26. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation (check all that apply):		ıram	Statewide	
шас арріу):	232	85.9%	4639	92.3%
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	153	56.7%	3517	70.0%
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	77	28.5%	1030	20.5%
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	33	12.2%	327	6.5%

27. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

	Prog	gram	State	wide
	230	85.2%	4606	91.7%
1 = Less than once per month	8	3.5%	153	3.3%
2 = Once per month	33	14.3%	407	8.8%
3 = Twice per month	59	25.7%	940	20.4%
4 = Once per week	71	30.9%	1620	35.2%
5 = 2-3 times per week	45	19.6%	1146	24.9%
6 = Daily	14	6.1%	340	7.4%
Mean : SD	3.67	1.22	3.92	1.18

28. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	229	84.8%	4597	91.5%
1 = Once or twice	9	3.9%	104	2.3%
2 = 3-5 times	108	47.2%	789	17.2%
3 = 6-10 times	66	28.8%	1794	39.0%
4 = 11-15 times	30	13.1%	926	20.1%
5 = 16-20 times	8	3.5%	527	11.5%
6 = More than 20 times	8	3.5%	457	9.9%
Mean : SD	2.76	1.08	3.51	1.25

 $29.\ \mbox{My}$ field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework

	Prog	gram	State	ewide	
	231	85.6%	4603	91.6%	
1 = Strongly Disagree	3	1.3%	37	0.8%	
2 = Disagree	1	0.4%	38	0.8%	
3 = Neutral	10	4.3%	226	4.9%	
4 = Agree	84	36.4%	1661	36.1%	
5 = Strongly Agree	133	57.6%	2641	57.4%	
Mean : SD	4.48	0.72	4.48	0.70	

30. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

	Prog	ıram .	State	wide
	227	84.1%	4503	89.6%
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to				
offer feedback	190	70.4%	3812	75.9%
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	201	74.4%	3874	77.1%
Modeled effective practices	192	71.1%	3869	77.0%
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	187	69.3%	3638	72.4%
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	202	74.8%	4075	81.1%
Helped me reflect on my practice	193	71.5%	3786	75.3%
Helped me to solve teaching problems	199	73.7%	3753	74.7%
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support				
for field-based assignments	196	72.6%	3718	74.0%

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

31. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	226	83.7%	4585	91.2%
1 = Less than 100 hours	5	3.1%	78	2.2%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	11	6.9%	343	9.5%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	51	31.9%	1013	28.0%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	60	37.5%	1217	33.6%
5 = 800 hours or more	33	20.6%	967	26.7%
This question does not apply to me because				
I did not do any student teaching. **	66	-	967	-
Mean : SD	3.66	0.98	3.73	1.02

32. Approximately how much time did you spend in **an internship placement** as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?

	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	226	83.7%	2645	52.6%
1 = Less than 100 hours	0	0.0%	24	2.1%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	4	4.8%	83	7.2%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	8	9.6%	170	14.8%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	9	10.8%	137	11.9%
5 = 800 hours or more	62	74.7%	735	64.0%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	143	-	1496	-
Mean : SD	4.55	0.86	4.28	1.09

33. **If you served in an internship placement**, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?

		Prog	gram	State	ewide
		225	83.3%	2636	52.5%
1 = Once or twice		1	1.3%	84	7.6%
2 = 3-5 times		22	27.5%	192	17.4%
3 = 6-10 times		23	28.8%	253	22.9%
4 = 11-15 times		12	15.0%	190	17.2%
5 = 16-20 times		10	12.5%	128	11.6%
6 = More than 20 times		12	15.0%	256	23.2%
Does not apply/I was not in	n an internship **	145	-	1533	-
	Mean : SD	3.55	1.43	3.77	1.61

Date: 1/30/2019

Content for Multiple Subjects: English Language Arts

34. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?	a) Learn skills	ways to t	each deco	oding		b) Learn ways to build student				c) Learn how to help students make predictions to improve comprehension				d) Learn how to support older students in learning to read			
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	
	211	78.1%	4463	88.8%	210	77.8%	4461	88.8%	210	77.8%	4449	88.5%	208	77.0%	4435	88.3%	
1 = None	7	3.3%	99	2.2%	2	1.0%	23	0.5%	4	1.9%	35	0.8%	6	2.9%	179	4.0%	
2 = Touched on it Briefly	19	9.0%	472	10.6%	11	5.2%	216	4.8%	11	5.2%	245	5.5%	24	11.5%	554	12.5%	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	56	26.5%	998	22.4%	37	17.6%	838	18.8%	45	21.4%	858	19.3%	59	28.4%	1071	24.1%	
4 = Explored in Some Depth	83	39.3%	1647	36.9%	89	42.4%	1587	35.6%	87	41.4%	1602	36.0%	67	32.2%	1397	31.5%	
5 = Extensive Opportunity	46	21.8%	1247	27.9%	71	33.8%	1797	40.3%	63	30.0%	1709	38.4%	52	25.0%	1234	27.8%	
Mean : SD	3.67	1.02	3.78	1.04	4.03	0.90	4.10	0.91	3.92	0.95	4.06	0.93	3.65	1.07	3.67	1.13	

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

	e) Learn ways to teach readir writing to students at differer stages or reading abilities			udents at different			tivate stu	dents'	aloud fo	n to an indi r the purpo reading acl	ose of ass	essing	h) Plan a lesson	and teach a	a guided r	reading
	Prog	gram	State	Statewide		gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	210	77.8%	4448	88.5%	209	77.4%	4454	88.6%	210	77.8%	4456	88.7%	210	77.8%	4453	88.6%
1 = None	3	1.4%	30	0.7%	1	0.5%	12	0.3%	3	1.4%	57	1.3%	3	1.4%	73	1.6%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	9	4.3%	237	5.3%	7	3.3%	90	2.0%	7	3.3%	181	4.1%	12	5.7%	211	4.7%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	46	21.9%	823	18.5%	28	13.4%	591	13.3%	51	24.3%	696	15.6%	34	16.2%	689	15.5%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	76	36.2%	1533	34.5%	66	31.6%	1341	30.1%	67	31.9%	1436	32.2%	65	31.0%	1339	30.1%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	76	36.2%	1825	41.0%	107	51.2%	2420	54.3%	82	39.0%	2086	46.8%	96	45.7%	2141	48.1%
Mean : SD	4 01	0.94	4 10	0.93	4 30	0.86	4 36	0.81	4 04	0.95	4 19	0.93	4 14	0.98	4 18	0.97

	,	to teach si their idea			results t	udent read o address s ove your t	student n		 k) Practice what you learned about teaching reading in your field experiences 			
	Prog	gram	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide	
	209	77.4%	4455	88.7%	210	77.8%	4452	88.6%	209	77.4%	4450	88.6%
1 = None	3	1.4%	57	1.3%	2	1.0%	29	0.7%	1	0.5%	32	0.7%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	12	5.7%	253	5.7%	4	1.9%	179	4.0%	7	3.3%	167	3.8%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	38	18.2%	812	18.2%	41	19.5%	745	16.7%	32	15.3%	681	15.3%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	75	35.9%	1467	32.9%	81	38.6%	1503	33.8%	59	28.2%	1351	30.4%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	81	38.8%	1866	41.9%	82	82 39.0%	1996	44.8%	110	52.6%	2219	49.9%
Mean : SD	4.05	0.96	4.08	0.97	4.13	0.86	4.18	0.90	4.29	0.88	4.25	0.90

		state stan Ianguage				y, critique ım materia	e or adapt readin ials		
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram Stat		tewide	
	209	77.4%	4450 88.6%		210 77.8%		4444	88.4%	
1 = None	1	0.5%	28	0.6%	4	1.9%	90	2.0%	
2 = Touched on it Briefly	5	2.4%	154	3.5%	15	7.1%	292	6.6%	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	33	15.8%	631	14.2%	38	18.1%	832	18.7%	
4 = Explored in Some Depth	74	35.4%	1401	31.5%	73	34.8%	1467	33.0%	
5 = Extensive Opportunity	96	45.9%	2236	50.2%	80	38.1%	1763	39.7%	
Mean : SD	4.24	0.84	4.27	0.87	4.00	1.01	4.02	1.01	

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Content for Multiple Subjects: Mathematics

35. In your teacher preparation
program, how much opportunity did
you have to do each of the following?

a) Learn typical difficulties students have with place value b) Learn to have with

c) Use representations (e.g., geometric representation, graphs, number lines) to show explicitly why a procedure works

d) Prove that a solution is valid or that a method works for all similar cases

	nave wit	n piace value			nave with fractions			wny a procedure works				cases				
	Prog	ıram 💮	State	wide	Prog	Program		Statewide		ıram	Statewide		Program		State	wide
	209	77.4%	4430	88.2%	210	77.8%	4393	87.4%	209	77.4%	4427	88.1%	210	77.8%	4428	88.1%
1 = None	16	7.7%	293	6.6%	15	7.1%	303	6.9%	10	4.8%	195	4.4%	12	5.7%	211	4.8%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	16	7.7%	529	11.9%	23	11.0%	570	13.0%	17	8.1%	404	9.1%	21	10.0%	442	10.0%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	54	25.8%	969	21.9%	54	25.7%	936	21.3%	56	26.8%	876	19.8%	59	28.1%	935	21.1%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	64	30.6%	1302	29.4%	53	25.2%	1207	27.5%	61	29.2%	1318	29.8%	64	30.5%	1389	31.4%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	59	28.2%	1337	30.2%	65	31.0%	1377	31.3%	65	31.1%	1634	36.9%	54	25.7%	1451	32.8%
Mean : SD	3.64	1.19	3.65	1.21	3.62	1.23	3.63	1.24	3.74	1.13	3.86	1.14	3.60	1.14	3.77	1.15

e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials

f) Learn how to facilitate math learning for students in small groups

g) Adapt math lessons for students with diverse needs and learning styles

		curriculum materials				groups				styles			
		Prog	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		wide
		210	77.8%	4435	88.3%	210	77.8%	4442	88.4%	209	77.4%	4439	88.3%
1 = None		9	4.3%	146	3.3%	5	5 2.4%		2.7%	5	2.4%	84	1.9%
2 = Touched on it Briefly		18	8.6%	369	8.3%	17	8.1%	334	7.5%	12	5.7%	337	7.6%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		46	21.9%	922	20.8%	44	21.0%	840	18.9%	42	20.1%	807	18.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth		65	31.0%	1343	30.3%	65	31.0%	1331	30.0%	58	27.8%	1342	30.2%
5 = Extensive Opportunity		72	34.3%	1655	37.3%	79	37.6%	1815	40.9%	92	44.0%	1869	42.1%
	Mean : SD	3.82	1.12	3.90	1.10	3.93	1.06	3.99	1.07	4.05	1.04	4.03	1.04

h) Practice what you learned about teaching math in your field experience

i) Study national or state standards for mathematics

j) Review local district mathematics curriculum

	experier	ice	for mathematics				curriculu	m				
	Prog	Program		Statewide		jram	Statewide		Program		Statewide	
	210	77.8%	4430	88.2%	210	210 77.8%		88.4%	209 77.4%		4422	88.0%
1 = None	6	2.9%	88	2.0%	2	1.0%	68	1.5%	12	5.7%	313	7.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	10	4.8%	229	5.2%	10	4.8%	273	6.1%	20	9.6%	495	11.2%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	32	15.2%	723	16.3%	36	17.1%	724	16.3%	23	11.0%	807	18.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	57	27.1%	1265	28.6%	64	30.5%	1374	30.9%	62	29.7%	1205	27.3%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	105	50.0%	2125	48.0%	98	46.7%	2001	45.1%	92	44.0%	1602	36.2%
Mean : SD	4.17	1.04	4.15	1.00	4.17	0.94	4.12	0.99	3.97	1.21	3.74	1.25

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

General

36. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher?

		Prog	ıram	State	ewide	
		209	77.4%	4396	87.5%	
1 = Not at all effective		0	0.0%	28	0.6%	
2 = Somewhat effective		16	7.7%	380	8.6%	
3 = Effective		74	35.4%	1551	35.3%	
4 = Very effective		119	56.9%	2437	55.4%	
	Mean : SD	3.49	0.64	3.46	0.68	

Demographics

Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

37. Are you Hispanic or Latino?

	Pro	gram	State	wide
	208	77.0%	4415	87.9%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	168	80.8%	3062	69.4%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	40	19.2%	1353	30.6%

38. What is your race? Mark all that apply

	Prog	gram	Statewide			
	192	71.1%	3798	75.6%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	*		167	3.3%		
Chinese	*		143	2.8%		
Japanese	*		82	1.6%		
Korean	*		77	1.5%		
Vietnamese	*		49	1.0%		
Asian Indian	*		41	0.8%		
Laotian	*		6	0.1%		
Cambodian	*		14	0.3%		
Filipino	*		153	3.0%		
Hmong	*		20	0.4%		
Other Asian	*		47	0.9%		
Black or African American	*		158	3.1%		
Hawaiian	*		14	0.3%		
Guamanian	*		5	0.1%		
Samoan	*		6	0.1%		
Tahitian	*		1	0.0%		
Other Pacific Islander	*		28	0.6%		
White	171	63.3%	3179	63.3%		

39. What is your gender?

	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	209	77.4%	4427	88.1%
Female	183	87.6%	3835	86.6%
Male	*		534	12.1%
Decline to state	*		58	1.3%

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and of Respo	
Program:	281	270	96.1 %
Statewide:	5172	5025	97.2 %

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

1. Use knowledge of students'

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	strength	ns and price them in le	or experie		Connect classroom lear the real world				
	Pro	Program Statewide				gram	Statew		
	272	100.0%	4116	98.4%	272	100.0%	4116		
Not at all	2	0.7%	12	0.3%	3	1.1%	15		

ng to

3. Engage students in inquiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote their critical thinking

Date: 1/29/2019

chigage them in learning					world			promote their critical thinking				
Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	
272	100.0%	4116	98.4%	272	100.0%	4116	98.4%	272	100.0%	4103	98.1%	
2	0.7%	12	0.3%	3	1.1%	15	0.4%	0	0.0%	16	0.4%	
6	2.2%	73	1.8%	7	2.6%	120	2.9%	5	1.8%	100	2.4%	
39	14.3%	594	14.4%	51	18.8%	651	15.8%	46	16.9%	575	14.0%	
94	34.6%	1342	32.6%	84	30.9%	1325	32.2%	92	33.8%	1375	33.5%	
131	48.2%	2095	50.9%	127	46.7%	2005	48.7%	129	47.4%	2037	49.6%	
4.27	0.84	4.32	0.80	4.19	0.91	4.26	0.85	4.27	0.80	4.30	0.83	
	Prog 272 2 6 39 94 131	Program 272 100.0% 2 0.7% 6 2.2% 39 14.3% 94 34.6% 131 48.2%	Program State 272 100.0% 4116 2 0.7% 12 6 2.2% 73 39 14.3% 594 94 34.6% 1342 131 48.2% 2095	Program Statewide 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 131 48.2% 2095 50.9%	Program Statewide Program 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127	Program Statewide Program 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 1.1% 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 30.9% 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127 46.7%	Program Statewide Program State 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 4116 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 1.1% 15 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 120 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 651 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 30.9% 1325 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127 46.7% 2005	Program Statewide Program Statewide 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 1.1% 15 0.4% 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 120 2.9% 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 651 15.8% 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 30.9% 1325 32.2% 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127 46.7% 2005 48.7%	Program Statewide Program Statewide Program 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 1.1% 15 0.4% 0 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 120 2.9% 5 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 651 15.8% 46 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 30.9% 1325 32.2% 92 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127 46.7% 2005 48.7% 129	Program Statewide Program Statewide Program 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 4116 98.4% 272 100.0% 2 0.7% 12 0.3% 3 1.1% 15 0.4% 0 0.0% 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 120 2.9% 5 1.8% 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 651 15.8% 46 16.9% 94 34.6% 1342 32.6% 84 30.9% 1325 32.2% 92 33.8% 131 48.2% 2095 50.9% 127 46.7% 2005 48.7% 129 47.4%	Program Statewide 4103 6 2.2% 73 1.8% 7 2.6% 120 2.9% 5 1.8% 100 39 14.3% 594 14.4% 51 18.8% 651 15.8% 46 16.9% 575 94 3	

4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

	Pro	Program		wide Pr		gram	State	ewide		
	272	100.0%	4099	98.0%	272	100.0%	4109	98.2%		
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	10	0.2%	0	0.0%	20	0.5%		
2 = Poorly	1	0.4%	117	2.9%	4	1.5%	145	3.5%		
3 = Adequately	42	15.4%	788	19.2%	41	15.1%	742	18.1%		
4 = Well	80	29.4%	1376	33.6%	82	30.1%	1440	35.0%		
5 = Very well	149	54.8%	1808	44.1%	145	53.3%	1762	42.9%		
Mean : SD	4.39	0.75	4.18	0.86	4.35	0.79	4.16	0.88		

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	Engage students in cooperative group work as well as independent learning					lish and m ul learning ents			Create a productive learning environment with high expectation for all students				
	Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	wide Prog		State	Statewide	
	272	100.0%	4097	97.9%	272	100.0%	4100	98.0%	270	99.3%	4095	97.9%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	15	0.4%	0	0.0%	13	0.3%	0	0.0%	20	0.5%	
2 = Poorly	4	1.5%	66	1.6%	1	0.4%	54	1.3%	1	0.4%	64	1.6%	
3 = Adequately	40	14.7%	522	12.7%	33	12.1%	439	10.7%	33	12.2%	516	12.6%	
4 = Well	90	33.1%	1303	31.8%	83	30.5%	1162	28.3%	89	33.0%	1305	31.9%	
5 = Very well	138	50.7%	2191	53.5%	155	57.0%	2432	59.3%	147	54.4%	2190	53.5%	
Mean : SD	4.33	0.78	4.36	0.79	4.44	0.72	4.45	0.76	4.41	0.72	4.36	0.80	

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?		op curriculi standards (effective ir es to teach nd skills			11. Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students				
	Prog	ıram 💮	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	
	251	92.3%	3942	94.2%	251	92.3%	3944	94.3%	250	91.9%	3926	93.8%	
1 = Not at all	3	1.2%	26	0.7%	3	1.2%	22	0.6%	1	0.4%	15	0.4%	
2 = Poorly	3	1.2%	121	3.1%	6	2.4%	103	2.6%	5	2.0%	109	2.8%	
3 = Adequately	43	17.1%	677	17.2%	44	17.5%	564	14.3%	40	16.0%	645	16.4%	
4 = Well	93	37.1%	1343	34.1%	73	29.1%	1310	33.2%	94	37.6%	1346	34.3%	
5 = Very well	109	43.4%	1775	45.0%	125	49.8%	1945	49.3%	110	44.0%	1811	46.1%	
Mean : SD	4.20	0.85	4.20	0.87	4.24	0.91	4.28	0.84	4.23	0.82	4.23	0.85	

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

 Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development 13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

Date: 1/29/2019

following as a teacher?		acitgiouri	a, ana ma	iviadai ac	VCIOPITICI	i iiicci iiic	These the learning needs of an stadents					
Tollowing do a coacher.		Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide			
		251	92.3%	3938	94.1%	251	92.3%	3939	94.1%			
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	14	0.4%	0	0.0%	7	0.2%			
2 = Poorly		5	2.0%	81	2.1%	5	2.0%	81	2.1%			
3 = Adequately		35	13.9%	596	15.1%	36	14.3%	560	14.2%			
4 = Well		82	32.7%	1358	34.5%	75	29.9%	1377	35.0%			
5 = Very well		129	51.4%	1889	48.0%	135	53.8%	1914	48.6%			
	Mean : SD	4.33	0.79	4.28	0.82	4.35	0.80	4.30	0.80			

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?		ces to es		ning goal	a from a variety of s and to plan, struction	asses	nvolve all s ssment, go monitoring	al setting	, and	16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning				
following as a teacher?		Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	ewide	
		250	91.9%	3937	94.1%	250	91.9%	3935	94.0%	250	91.9%	3934	94.0%	
1 = Not at all		2	0.8%	17	0.4%	2	0.8%	33	0.8%	0	0.0%	21	0.5%	
2 = Poorly		2	0.8%	139	3.5%	8	3.2%	161	4.1%	6	2.4%	104	2.6%	
3 = Adequately		47	18.8%	696	17.7%	46	18.4%	763	19.4%	44	17.6%	627	15.9%	
4 = Well		77	30.8%	1368	34.7%	78	31.2%	1324	33.6%	69	27.6%	1303	33.1%	
5 = Very well		122	48.8%	1717	43.6%	116	46.4%	1654	42.0%	131	52.4%	1879	47.8%	
	Mean : SD	4.26	0.85	4.18	0.87	4.19	0.90	4.12	0.92	4.30	0.84	4.25	0.85	

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

How well did your teacher preparation 17. Evaluate the effects of your 18. Work with families to better program prepare you to do each of the actions on student learning and understand students and to support 19. Work with colleagues to following as a teacher? modify plans accordingly their learning improve instruction **Program** Statewide Program Statewide Statewide Program 3881 92.8% 92.6% 3877 246 90.4% 246 90.4% 3874 246 90.4% 92.7% 0.0% 77 2 1 = Not at all 17 0.4% 1.6% 2.0% 0.8% 39 1.0% 6.5% 6 2.4% 83 2.1% 11 4.5% 251 10 4.1% 120 3.1% 2 = Poorly3 = Adequately38 15.4% 15.5% 24.0% 1025 26.5% 18.7% 17.2% 601 666 4 = Well 90 36.6% 1405 36.2% 81 32.9% 1258 32.5% 77 31.3% 1252 32.3% 45.5% 45.7% 37.0% 32.6% 45.1% 46.4%

Content for Single Subjects

0.80

1775

4.25

0.82

91

0.97

22 Enable students to acquire

3.99

1263

3.87

1.01

111

4.16

0.92

1800

4.20

Date: 1/29/2019

0.90

112

4.25

Mean : SD

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	accordin	ch my cont g to Califo standards	rnia acad		skills inc	Contribute to students' reading sincluding comprehension in			subject i	matter skil e success i eer	Is that co	ntribute	23. Anticipate and address the needs of students who are at risk of dropping out				
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Program		ram Statew		tewide Progra		State	Statewide		ram	Statewide		
	246	90.4%	3885	92.9%	246	90.4%	3874	92.6%	246	90.4%	3880	92.7%	246	90.4%	3870	92.5%	
1 = Not at all	5	2.0%	37	1.0%	4	1.6%	31	0.8%	3	1.2%	28	0.7%	8	3.3%	127	3.3%	
2 = Poorly	13	5.3%	117	3.0%	9	3.7%	131	3.4%	8	3.3%	94	2.4%	12	4.9%	318	8.2%	
3 = Adequately	38	15.4%	579	14.9%	46	18.7%	708	18.3%	37	15.0%	667	17.2%	45	18.3%	950	24.5%	
4 = Well	79	32.1%	1220	31.4%	88	35.8%	1303	33.6%	85	34.6%	1325	34.1%	87	35.4%	1174	30.3%	
5 = Very well	111	45.1%	1932	49.7%	99	40.2%	1701	43.9%	113	45.9%	1766	45.5%	94	38.2%	1301	33.6%	
Mean : SD	4.13	0.99	4.26	0.89	4.09	0.94	4.16	0.90	4.21	0.90	4.21	0.86	4.00	1.03	3.83	1.08	

Field Experiences

24. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation (check all		Program		Statewide	
that apply):	246	90.4%	3940	94.2%	
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	137	50.4%	2928	70.0%	
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	107	39.3%	1000	23.9%	
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	44	16.2%	294	7.0%	

5 = Very well

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

25. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

		Program		Statewide	
		246	90.4%	3926	93.8%
1 = Less than once per month		5	2.0%	134	3.4%
2 = Once per month		42	17.1%	420	10.7%
3 = Twice per month		71	28.9%	822	20.9%
4 = Once per week		69	28.0%	1290	32.9%
5 = 2-3 times per week		48	19.5%	999	25.4%
6 = Daily		11	4.5%	261	6.6%
	Mean : SD	3.59	1.17	3.86	1.20

26. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

	Pro	Program		ewide
	246	90.4%	3918	93.6%
1 = Once or twice	6	2.4%	64	1.6%
2 = 3-5 times	109	44.3%	622	15.9%
3 = 6-10 times	71	28.9%	1843	47.0%
4 = 11-15 times	33	13.4%	728	18.6%
5 = 16-20 times	10	4.1%	348	8.9%
6 = More than 20 times	17	6.9%	313	8.0%
Mean : SD	2.93	1.21	3.41	1.15

 $\,$ 27. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework

	Program		Statewide	
	246	90.4%	3922	93.7%
1 = Strongly Disagree	1	0.4%	41	1.0%
2 = Disagree	2	0.8%	52	1.3%
3 = Neutral	16	6.5%	287	7.3%
4 = Agree	92	37.4%	1521	38.8%
5 = Strongly Agree	135	54.9%	2021	51.5%
Mean : SD	4.46	0.69	4.38	0.77

28. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

	Program		State	Statewide	
	240	88.2%	3790	90.6%	
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to					
offer feedback	199	73.2%	3169	75.7%	
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	199	73.2%	3077	73.5%	
Modeled effective practices	188	69.1%	3022	72.2%	
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	177	65.1%	2872	68.6%	
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	216	79.4%	3359	80.3%	
Helped me reflect on my practice	207	76.1%	3222	77.0%	
Helped me to solve teaching problems	197	72.4%	3102	74.1%	
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support					
for field-based assignments	191	70.2%	3015	72.1%	

29. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

Program		Statewide	
246	90.4%	3898	93.2%
4	2.8%	55	1.8%
17	11.7%	323	10.6%
51	35.2%	928	30.6%
50	34.5%	946	31.2%
23	15.9%	783	25.8%
101	-	863	-
3.49	0.99	3.69	1.03
	246 4 17 51 50 23	246 90.4% 4 2.8% 17 11.7% 51 35.2% 50 34.5% 23 15.9%	246 90.4% 3898 4 2.8% 55 17 11.7% 323 51 35.2% 928 50 34.5% 946 23 15.9% 783 101 - 863

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

30. Approximately how much time did you spend in **an internship placement** as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?

	Program		Statewide	
	245	90.1%	2453	58.6%
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	0.8%	15	1.3%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	8	6.4%	109	9.3%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	13	10.4%	160	13.7%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	16	12.8%	178	15.3%
5 = 800 hours or more	87	69.6%	705	60.4%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **				
internsinp **	120	-	1286	-
Mean : SD	4.44	0.97	4.24	1.08

31. **If you served in an internship placement**, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?

	Program		Statewide	
	245	90.1%	2449	58.5%
= Once or twice	4	3.4%	67	6.1%
= 3-5 times	20	17.1%	147	13.4%
= 6-10 times	36	30.8%	304	27.8%
= 11-15 times	22	18.8%	209	19.1%
= 16-20 times	13	11.1%	142	13.0%
= More than 20 times	22	18.8%	225	20.6%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	128	-	1355	-
Mean : SD	3.74	1.45	3.81	1.51

32. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher?

	Program		Statewide	
	245	90.1%	3816	91.2%
1 = Not at all effective	3	1.2%	39	1.0%
2 = Somewhat effective	21	8.6%	374	9.8%
3 = Effective	87	35.5%	1404	36.8%
4 = Very effective	134	54.7%	1999	52.4%
Mean : SD	3.44	0.70	3.41	0.71

Demographics

33. Are you Hispanic or Latino?

	Program		Statewide	
	244	89.7%	3841	91.8%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	176	72.1%	2614	68.1%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	68	27.9%	1227	31.9%

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

34. What is your race? Mark all that apply

	Prog	gram	Statewide	
	226	83.1%	3284	78.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*		173	4.1%
Chinese	*		146	3.5%
Japanese	*		72	1.7%
Korean	*		72	1.7%
Vietnamese	*		75	1.8%
Asian Indian	*		37	0.9%
Laotian	*		4	0.1%
Cambodian	*		13	0.3%
Filipino	*		116	2.8%
Hmong	*		15	0.4%
Other Asian	*		56	1.3%
Black or African American	15	5.5%	190	4.5%
Hawaiian	*		18	0.4%
Guamanian	*		8	0.2%
Samoan	*		8	0.2%
Tahitian	*		2	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	*		16	0.4%
White	191	70.2%	2692	64.3%

35. What is your gender?

	Program		Statewide	
	245	90.1%	3847	91.9%
Female	*		2122	55.2%
Male	125	51.0%	1649	42.9%
Decline to state	*		76	2.0%

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and % of Respondents	
Program:		272	96.8 %
Statewide:	4275	4184	97.9 %

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.